

NWC SAF 2010 users' workshop, 26-28 April 2010, Madrid

Cloud Type v2008

# Cloud products:

# Cloud mask (CMa), Cloud Type (CT), Cloud top temp. and height (CTTH)

We think these are the **most reliable** products of the NWC SAF

#### Automatic applications

- assimilation into ALADIN/HU
- Using in the Hungarian nowcasting system (MEANDER) + warning system
- •Creating 'infra\_cloud images' (instead of IR10.8) for partners, INTERNET/ intraweb
- Creating 'cloud amount' images

#### **Interactive applications**

Visualization for •the forecasters •verification of NWP forecasts, simulations •evaluation of case studies Automatic applications of the NWC SAF cloud products

### Using at assimilation into the ALADIN/HU Numerical Weather Prediction Model

Assimilated satellite data/product into the ALADIN/HU

- MPEF satellite retrieved wind product (AMV)
- NOAA ATOVS data
- brightness temperature (TB) data of some MSG infrared channels

Pre-processing:

The CT and CTTH products (and their quality flags) are used to select the pixels to be assimilated. The pixels are kept over cloud-free areas and above those clouds, for which the <u>cloud-</u> top pressure levels are below the tail of the weighting functions.

#### <u>Test</u>:

The WV6.2, WV7.3, IR8.7, IR10.8, IR12.0 brightness temperatures are assimilated into the ALADIN/HU model.

#### **Operational** since June 2009

Using only WV6.2 and WV7.3 channels together with SYNOP data (T2m and RH2m)



Normalised Weighting Function

### Automatic applications of the Cloud products

## in the Hungarian nowcasting system (MEANDER) + warning system

**MEANDER** - Mesoscale Analysis, Nowcasting and Decision Routines

#### present applications - since 2005

CMa, CT for deriving cloud amount

CT for filtering radar noises on cloud-free areas (+ very thin cirrus + ...)

CT for sending warning for potential foggy areas

(using CT + RH analyses, derived low visibility)

CTTH cloud top height (+ radar cloud top height + many other parameters) estimate the maximum wind speed in the thunderstorm outflow

MEANDER software could use other SAFNWC products as well.

#### <u>plans</u>:

--- improve the **fog** module based on **CT** + using the 'Toulouse' algorithm (RH, wind, prec.) --- using 6 hourly snow cover maps (**CT** + LandSAF Snow Cover product)

to assimilate it into the WRF model

```
--- using RDT (with radar cell tracking)
--- using LST (Land SAF)
```

### Snow detection in NWC SAF CT

<u>6 hourly</u> snow cover maps were asked for using it in the MEANDER.

The Land SAF Snow Cover (SC) is a daily product. (available at ~2 UTC next day)

NWC SAF CT provide snow detection in every 15 minutes >>> we create 6 hourly snow cover map from NWC SAF CT

NWC SAF CT provide snow detection only for **cloud-free** areas, only if the **sun elevation > 5 degree**. (+ The snow detection is more reliable at high solar elevation)

**We compared Land SAF SC with NWC SAF CT** by creating 24 hourly maps from NWC SAF as well.

#### How?

Daily map pixel is set to snowy, if CT=snow at least in 3 slots (sun elevation > 5 degree) Daily map pixel is set to snow-free, if it is not set to snowy and CT=snow-free at least in 3 slots (sun elevation > 10 degree) Other pixels are set to unclassified.

differences examples





MSG-teszt Hobor napi NWCSAF (-) 2010-Feb-28 Vasárnap 23:59 U

Terra MODIS 28.02.2010. 9:45+9:50 UTC Bigger snowy area in the NWC SAF CT derived map

(459-479, 1628-1652,2105-2155 nm)

snow cover from CT

### Verification of LSA SC and NWC SAF CT derived daily snow maps with the Hungarian surface snow depth observations

(stations with observer and 4 automatic stations)

Snow depth observations are performed once a day, at 6 UTC in the morning.

Cases with **observed snow depth>=2cm** in two consecutive mornings were selected. For these days >

'Snowy' and 'snow-free' pixels (with such observations) were counted >> POD

		POD Snow depth >= 2cm	Number of cloud-free pixels	Pixels with info / all pixels ( sd >=2cm )	NWC/LSA
December 2009	LSA	0,94	963	32%	
	NWC	0,78	1328	44%	1.38
January 2010	LSA	0,93	682	11%	
	NWC	0,71	1508	25%	2,21
February 2010	LSA	0,91	1390	18%	
	NWC	0,85	2241	29%	1,61
Dec-Febr 2009- 2010	LSA	0,93	3035	18%	
	NWC	0.79	5077	30%	1.67

For the selected pixels containing the meteorological station with snow depths observation >= 2cm both on the actual and next mornings - the pixels with information (snow or snow free) were divided with all pixels. It was found that the NWC CT derived daily map gave information for 67% bigger area that SC.

for a smaller, more reliable data set: **FAR=0** was found for both products (No of cases ~ 120-150)

Automatic application Creating 'Infra-clouds' For partners (e.g. Roads admin.) **CT** as cloud mask -- IR10.8 (black&white) on cloudy areas -- orographic map (colors) on cloud-free areas

> 03.03.2010. 08:55 UTC



<u>Automatic application –</u> 'cloud amount' map derived from the CT product How? - Creating a 'cloud mask' from CT by setting 0 - cloud-free, 0.5 - broken clouds and very thin cirrus, 1 - other cloud types

Smoothing/averaging this image with a 5x5 window



<u>Automatic application -</u> 'cloud amount' map derived from the CT product How? - Creating a 'cloud mask' from CT by setting 0 - cloud-free, 0.5 - broken clouds and very thin cirrus, 1 - other cloud types Smoothing/averaging this image with a 5x5 window Applications: Verifying the forecasted cloud amount by the ALADIN/HU (and other) NWP model ALADIN forecasts the total cloud amount and the cloud amount in three different layers. A 'cloud factor' is calculated from the forecasted low-, mid- and high-level cloud amounts. It is compared with SYNOP observations and with the satellite derived cloud amount interactive: cloud factor + satellite cloud amount + CT + SYNOP + METEOSAT RGBs automatic: cloud factor + satellite cloud amount + SYNOP

Plan: Verifying directly the forecasted low-, mid- and high-level cloud amounts with the CT product



# <u>Cloud products</u> CMa CT CTTH

### **Interactive applications:** Interactive applications

Visualization regularly in HAWK software for

- the forecasters
- verification of NWP forecasts, simulations
- evaluation of case studies

Duty forecasters Winter period looking CT mainly to see the <u>foggy</u> areas (beside RGBs) All year aviation meteorologists use CTTH to see the <u>cloud top height</u> (beside radar cloud top height) (eq. in-cloud icing)

We show examples of **recent improvement** in CT, which are important **both in automatic and interactive** applications.



Cloud Type <b>v2009</b>	pciók Makrók Adatok <mark>Műhold Rada</mark>	05:10 UT	C Villám Meteogram Met. ot HRV fog (IR1.6,HRV,HRV)
MSG-SAFNWC CloudType (-) 2009-	-Nov-01 Vasárnap 05:10 UT	CloudType (-) 19 17 15 13 11 9 7 5 3 1 1 1 5 5 3 1 1 1 5 5	G HRV_kod (-) 2009-Nov-01 Vasárnap 05:10 UT
MSG-SAFNWC-teszt CT-Eu (-) 200	09-Nov-01 Vasámap 05:11 UT	CT-Eu (-) 19 17 15 13 11 9 7 5 3 1	G RGBMej_mikrof (-) 2009-Nov-01 Vasárnap 05:10 UT
Cloud Type v2008	the Dimens	Teljes ==> F	night microph. RGB















Cloud Type v2009

Cloud Type

21/12/2007 10h00 UTC

undefined

sem. thick sem. med.

sem, thin very high very high cum

high high cum.

med. med. cum. low low cum. vary low

sea land

поргос

very low cum sealice land.snow

br*oke*n sem, abava



We look forward to the version 2010 improvement of the CT in cold air pool situation (temperature inversion).

Cold air pool situation in the Carpathian basin 21 December 2007

# Mid-level cloud

Low-level cloud

Cloud Type v2010



# <u>Suggestions how to improve the cloud product?</u>

### CMa

1. Improve the <u>dust and vulcanic ash flags</u> by using the new visible and NIR channels on MTG

# СТ

- 1. Improvement of <u>nighttime separation of cloud-free and cloudy</u> pixels over <u>snowy</u> areas
- 2. Improve the detection of <u>very thin cirrus</u> with the MTG new NIR1.3 channel and better spatial resolution
- 3. Improve the separation between the <u>very thin semitransparent clouds and</u> <u>fractional clouds</u> (with MTG NIR1.3)
- 4. With better <u>very thin cirrus</u> detection more pixels will be in the very thin Cirrus CT class. However, there is some possibility to see surface/lower level cloud features through very thin semitransparent clouds. It would be nice not to loose this information.
  - Add a class 'snow under very thin cirrus cloud'.
- 5. Maybe add a <u>partial snowy land</u> class, to detect the snowy forests using land use map + different thresholds for forested areas + looking the neighborhood?
- 6. Use the new NIR2.2 channel of MTG to improve the snow detection

Suggestions how to improve the NWC SAF cloud product - cont.

### СТ

•Separation of cumuliform and stratiform clouds in the opaque cloud classes. It might be easier with the *higher spatial resolution* of MTG. The separation could be useful for example in case of dry convergence lines (with no radar signal, only satellite). The cumuliform pattern, its temporal evolution could refer to strong wind

•Cloud top microphysics - beside the cloud top phase the effective radius of the cloud top particles also can hold useful information for (interested) forecasters, researchers (for example on storm severity, or for verification of the numerical simulation results).

Interesting field of research,

The new channel NIR2.2 will help to retrieve even more accurate effective cloud top particle size values

### СТТН

To recommend officially the users to use the NWP model up to 100 hPa - it would give more accurate results for CTTH
Storms penetrating into the tropopause, lower stratosphere -- see next slide

# Severe storm features

Cold ring, cold U/V features, overshooting tops, ...

Why are these features interesting?

Why could be useful to detect them within the NWC SAF activity?

1.

These features may be indicators of the **storm severity** (not always) - **warning** Intense fields of **research** 

 relation of these features to storm severity
 relation between cloud top microphysics (phase, effective radius) and storm severity/precipitation

there are not yet ready methods for automatic applications - but in 7 years.... Later - Use in RDT as possible severity indicator???

2.

These features can 'spoil' some products **CTTH**, **CRR**, (PC) Why?

Storm penetrated in the tropopause, lower stratosphere (temperature inversion) Cloud top may be not in thermal equilibrium with the environment

- •Overshooting top very cold due to adiabatic cooling (colder)
- •Warm spots inside the cold ring, cold U/V features are warmer

Difficult to estimate the <u>cloud top height/pressure</u>, one cannot retrieve them using only the profile (T,z/p) of the environment

Through the brightness temperature the feature could be seen also in <u>CRR, PC</u> (More often seen in CRR then in PC, CRR seem to depend more strongly on IR10.8 TB then PC day) What to do with the mistaken cloud top products??? For example detect the ring and fill in the cloud top height????









# Rapid Developing Thunderstorm, RDT

Very important product - big interest/need for using it •interactively by duty forecasters and researchers at case studies, •automatically in the mesoscale nowcasting system.

RDT product is much more reliable now. It detects the majority of the mature phase convective clouds. The time stability improved.

Not perfect yet, but due to the big interest we will past it routinely from this summer to the duty forecasters.



## Requests, suggestions to the RDT developers:

Improve the reliability of **separation convective from non-convective cloud systems**. Sometimes a huge part of a **front** is detected as convective. With this the automatic application could be problematic.



## Requests, suggestions to the RDT developers:

Improve the reliability of **separation convective from non-convective cloud systems**. Sometimes a huge part of a **front** is detected as convective. With this the automatic application could be problematic.



# Requests, suggestions to the RDT developers:

•Detect the convective cloud systems as early as possible. (Maybe with including HRV?) The developing convective cells are often missed without lightning input. The satellite information is the most useful in early stages of the convective cells, when

no radar signal is available yet (no precipitation yet).

Early detection would have the 'forecasting value'.

However, in our case studies RDT without lightning input detected mostly only the mature phase objects (top T<=-40 °C).

We recommend running RDT with the optional lightning input if possible.

•It might be useful a 'Lifting velocity' (vertical speed of the cloud top height lifting in m/sec). It is important mainly in the developing phase. RDT provides cooling rate. The lifting speed could be given additionally. Some forecasters feel it is more expressive.

•RDT would be even more useful in 5 minute time steps.

•RDT is supposed to detect the towers of the cloud systems. However in some cases the **contour is too 'loose'**. We recommend improving the algorithm to get a contour not big for the cloud edge.

•Find a solutions for getting smoother trajectories

•Using LI data of MTG

•It would be the best to use a synergetic method of satellite + lightning + radar data for tracking and characterizing the convective cloud systems.

# High Resolution Wind, HRW

Suggestions

- •To produce wind at night as well
- •To produce wind using the **better spatial resolution** of MTG
- To produce 3D wind from the IRS of MTG
- •To calculate **<u>wind shear</u>** from IRS profiles
- To combine IRS wind for <u>cloud-free areas</u> and 'traditional' wind for <u>cloudy areas</u>.

Horizontal vertikális accuracy Temperature profile 4-6 km 1 km 1 K Humidity 4 km 1-2 km 5-10% Wind profile 30-60 km 1 km ~2m/sec						
Temperature profile       4-6 km       1 km       1 K         Humidity profile       4 km       1-2 km       5-10%         Wind profile       30-60 km       1 km       ~2m/sec	8			Horizontal resolution	Vertikális resolution	accuracy
Humidity profile Wind profile 30-60 km 1 km 2 m/sec	4		Temperature profile	4-6 km	1 km	1 K
Wind profile 30-60 km 1 km ~2m/sec	PW		Humidity profile	4 km	1-2 km	5-10%
			Wind profile	30-60 km	1 km	~2m/sec
	<b>←</b>	-90				

**ASII** is regularly visualized in HAWK -- Some forecaster use the manual SATREP instead



HAWK





Request from one forecaster: visualization like on the SATREP homepage additional information in a 'pop-up window' -- value of some key parameters, to see the reason why the automatic algorithm chose this synoptic object

# PC, CRR, RDT

Separate presentation about cross-verification - poster on case studies

# Suggestions for the developers of PC and CRR

# <u>PC</u>

- •Improving the PC day algorithm at low solar elevation.
- Try to include more directly the cloud top microphysics information.
  Maybe with a physical based algorithm instead/beside the statistical one?
  Including the NIR2.2 channel data of MTG.
- •Using LI for MTG?

## <u>CRR</u>

- •Improve the separation of convective precipitation from non-convective •including more channel data, using channels containing some indirect information on cloud top microphysics.
- including microwave information from a polar satellite as additional data.
  Including of LI of MTG.

# TPW, LPW, SAI

In 2005 we made some TPW comparisons. We decided to wait. Later we did not follow the changes in the reliability of these products (lack of time). We use GII products instead. We look forward the PGE13 product.

#### Recommendations:

Maybe to retrieve more instability indices, not only the lifted index, like in GII product
Include the information of the new VISO.9 channel in MTG to retrieve Low level humidity

Low level humidity

Low level humidity advection

•Use the data of IRS (infrared sounding mission) of MTG

it is supposed to provide 3D temperature and humidity profiles

To derive several instability indices from these profiles



	Horizontal resolution	Vertikális resolution	accuracy
Temperature profile	4-6 km	1 km	1 K
Humidity profile	4 km	1-2 km	5-10%
Wind profile	30-60 km	1 km	~2m/sec

PC with radar

PARALLAX module - create module for parallax correcting the HRV image

NWP data - to make possible to use **<u>different NWP</u>** files for

- global for ASII
- regional for the other products

To recommend the users to use the **NWP model up to 100 hPa** – it would give more accurate results for CTTH

Performing parallax correction with IR10.8 method also might be better if the NWP profile would be used not only up to 200 hPa.









### Snow detection in NWC SAF CT

<u>6 hourly</u> snow cover maps were asked for using it in the MEANDER.

The Land SAF Snow Cover (SC) is a daily product. (available at ~2 UTC next day)

NWC SAF CT provide snow detection in every 15 minutes >>> we create 6 hourly snow cover map from NWC SAF CT

NWC SAF CT provide snow detection only for **cloud-free** areas, only if the **sun elevation > 5 degree**. (+ The snow detection is more reliable at high solar elevation)

**We compared Land SAF SC with NWC SAF CT** by creating 24 hourly maps from NWC SAF as well.

#### How?

Daily map pixel is set to snowy, if CT=snow at least in 3 slots (sun elevation > 5 degree) Daily map pixel is set to snow-free, if it is not set to snowy and CT=snow-free at least in 3 slots (sun elevation > 10 degree) Other pixels are set to unclassified.

differences examples





MSG-teszt Hobor napi NWCSAF (-) 2010-Feb-28 Vasárnap 23:59 U

Terra MODIS 28.02.2010. 9:45+9:50 UTC Bigger snowy area in the NWC SAF CT derived map

(459-479, 1628-1652,2105-2155 nm)

snow cover from CT

#### Some 'feeling' based on case studies in February 2010

Comparing CT derived daily snow map and Land SAF Snow Cover daily map

- 1. In CT derived map more '<u>snow covered by thin semitransparent clouds</u>' pixels are detected as snow than in LSA SC.
- 2. <u>LSA SC tends to detect more partly snowy area forests.</u>

CT detects partly snowy area as snow-free.

snowy forest - the branches are not covered by snow and/or the sun is low - big shadows

3. Land SAF <u>SC provides information for smaller area</u>, than the CT derived daily snow map. LSA SC is much more cautious.

Note: The 15-minute CT cannot give 'no info'. It has to decide between snowy or not snowy or cloudy classes. In the daily synthesis there are already some uncertain cloud-free pixels set to 'no info', but not so much as in SC.

### Verification of LSA SC and NWC SAF CT derived daily snow maps with the Hungarian surface snow depth observations

(stations with observer and 4 automatic stations)

Snow depth observations are performed once a day, at 6 UTC in the morning.

Cases with **observed snow depth>=2cm** in two consecutive mornings were selected. For these days >

'Snowy' and 'snow-free' pixels (with such observations) were counted >> POD

		POD Snow depth >= 2cm	Number of cloud-free pixels	Pixels with info / all pixels ( sd >=2cm )	NWC/LSA
December 2009	LSA	0,94	963	32%	
	NWC	0,78	1328	44%	1.38
January 2010	LSA	0,93	682	11%	
	NWC	0,71	1508	25%	2,21
February 2010	LSA	0,91	1390	18%	
	NWC	0,85	2241	29%	1,61
Dec-Febr 2009- 2010	LSA	0,93	3035	18%	
	NWC	0 79	5077	30%	1 67

For the selected pixels containing the meteorological station with snow depths observation >= 2cm both on the actual and next mornings - the pixels with information (snow or snow free) were divided with all pixels. It was found that the NWC CT derived daily map gave information for 67% bigger area that SC.

for a smaller, more reliable data set: **FAR=0** was found for both products (No of cases ~ 120-150)